

AKSU Journal of Social Sciences
(AKSUJOSS)
ISSN: 2504 – 933X
Vol.7,No.1 (April) 2026,pp.263-269
DOI: https://doi.org/10.61090/aksujoss.7.1.263-269
URL: htpp://www.aksujournal.com/vol.7.1

Received: January 2, 2026
Accepted: March 1, 2026
Published Online: March 11, 2026

Digital Documentation of Indigenous Languages as a Strategy for Cultural Heritage Sustainability in Academic Libraries in Cross River State, Nigeria

Charlotte Enang Bassey
Department of Library and Information Science
University of Calabar, Calabar, Nigeria
basseycgarlotte@gmail.com

Peace Asukwo Nyong
Department of Library and Information Science
University of Calabar, Calabar, Nigeria
peacenyong@unical.edu.ng

Abstract
This study examined the role of digital documentation of indigenous languages in sustaining cultural heritage within academic libraries in Cross River State. The primary aim was to assess how metadata creation and digital recording practices contribute to the long-term preservation, accessibility, and usability of indigenous language resources. Employing a descriptive survey design, the study targeted 800 librarians and paraprofessional staff across six academic libraries, selecting a sample of 200 respondents through stratified random sampling. Data were collected using structured questionnaires, with 192 valid responses achieved (96% response rate). Descriptive statistics and regression analysis were used for data analysis. The findings revealed that metadata creation significantly improves the discoverability, retrieval, and preservation of indigenous language resources. Digital recording practices, including audio and video documentation, were also identified as vital for capturing oral traditions and cultural expressions. Regression analysis demonstrated that both metadata creation and digital recording substantially predict cultural heritage sustainability. Consequently, the study concludes that digital documentation is integral to sustaining indigenous language heritage in academic libraries. It recommends investment in staff training, acquisition of digital recording equipment, the development of policies integrating metadata and recording practices, and the active involvement of indigenous communities in documentation initiatives.

Keywords: Digital documentation, indigenous languages, metadata creation, digital recording practices, cultural heritage sustainability.

Introduction

Academic libraries play a pivotal role in supporting teaching, learning, and research within higher

education. They serve not only as gateways to scholarly information but also as stewards of cultural and intellectual heritage, acquiring, organising, and preserving resources that reflect both global and local realities. With the contemporary demand for long-term preservation and accessibility, academic libraries are now adopting innovative strategies such as digital documentation to safeguard collections of cultural significance (Yakubu, Abdullah, & Kaur, 2022). This evolving responsibility places them at the forefront of cultural heritage preservation efforts in the digital era. Digital documentation, a systematic process of capturing, organising, and storing information in digital formats, has emerged as a critical method in library and information science. Utilising technologies like digital repositories and metadata systems, libraries can convert fragile or endangered materials into durable electronic forms (UNESCO, 2021).

This approach is especially vital for preserving indigenous languages, which face risks from globalisation, language shift, and inadequate documentation (UNESCO, 2022). Sustaining cultural

heritage requires libraries to adopt policies and technologies that ensure the long-term relevance and accessibility of both tangible and intangible cultural resources (Dutta, 2019; Nnatu, Okechukwu, & Nwafor, 2024). Digital documentation enables the systematic preservation of indigenous languages, often transmitted orally, by capturing spoken narratives and cultural expressions for future access and reuse (Ajani et al., 2023; Chowdhury, 2017). Academic libraries, particularly in linguistically diverse regions such as Cross River State, are uniquely positioned to lead such initiatives, supporting both research and broader efforts to preserve linguistic diversity (UNESCO, 2022).

Statement of the Problem

Indigenous languages play a vital role in preserving the cultural identity and knowledge of communities, yet many of them are facing gradual decline due to weak documentation and preservation efforts. Academic libraries are expected to contribute to the preservation of these languages through digital documentation, but in many academic libraries in Cross River State, indigenous language resources are poorly preserved, inadequately organized, or difficult to access. As a result, these resources are underutilized for learning, research, and cultural development, thereby reducing the effectiveness of academic libraries in sustaining indigenous language cultural heritage.

One of the major issues is the limited effectiveness of metadata creation and digital recording practices in academic libraries. Indigenous language materials are often documented without adequate descriptive information, making them difficult to identify, retrieve, and preserve over time. In addition, digital recording activities are sometimes carried out without proper planning, standardization, or long-term storage strategies. These weaknesses hinder the sustainability of indigenous language cultural heritage and reveal a gap between available digital technologies and their practical application in academic libraries, thus creating the need to examine the contribution of metadata creation and digital recording practices to cultural heritage sustainability in Cross River State.

Objectives of the Study

1. To examine the role of metadata creation in sustaining indigenous language cultural heritage in academic libraries in Cross River State.
2. To assess the extent to which digital recording practices are used for the documentation of indigenous languages to promote cultural heritage sustainability in academic libraries in Cross River State.
3. To examine the combined effect of metadata creation and digital recording practices on cultural heritage sustainability?

Research Questions

1. How does metadata creation contribute to the sustainability of indigenous language cultural heritage in academic libraries in Cross River State?
2. To what extent are digital recording practices used for documenting indigenous languages for cultural heritage sustainability in academic libraries in Cross River State?
3. What is the combined effect of metadata creation and digital recording practices on cultural heritage sustainability?

Review of Related Literature

Metadata Creation and Sustainability of Indigenous Language Cultural Heritage

Metadata creation is widely recognised as fundamental to the sustainability of digital cultural heritage resources. Gilliland (2016) highlights that metadata offers structured information essential for the identification, discovery, management, and long-term preservation of digital objects. Empirical research supports this, demonstrating that descriptive, administrative, and preservation metadata maintain the accessibility and interpretability of cultural materials despite evolving technologies. For indigenous language heritage, metadata is crucial as it provides linguistic, cultural, and contextual information that preserves meaning beyond the original recording environment.

Empirical studies reveal that metadata quality directly impacts sustainability outcomes. Park (2009) found that digital collections with well-defined metadata standards experienced improved access and long-term usability, while incomplete metadata reduced discoverability and sustainability. Berez (2013) further highlighted that rich metadata elements—such as language identification and speaker context—greatly enhance the value and longevity of recorded language materials. Inadequate metadata, by contrast, diminishes relevance and limits the potential for research, revitalisation, and community engagement.

African digital heritage projects underscore the value of culturally informed metadata. Deacon, Walmsley, and Prosalendis (2014) observed that embedding indigenous language names and local classifications in metadata enhances discoverability in academic repositories. Park and Tosaka (2015) demonstrated that metadata consistency, accuracy, and completeness are critical for sustaining digital collections. Inadequate practices can marginalise or misrepresent linguistic heritage. Preservation metadata is also vital for digital integrity, supporting format migration and authenticity (Hedstrom & Lee, 2002). Smith (2012) and Yakel et al. (2013) emphasise the importance of culturally respectful metadata to build trust and sustain indigenous knowledge in libraries.

Digital Recording Practices and Sustainability of Indigenous Language Cultural Heritage

Digital recording practices are increasingly recognised as vital for documenting and sustaining indigenous languages, particularly within academic libraries and digital heritage institutions. Austin and Sallabank (2023) contend that systematic audio and video recording captures linguistic structures, oral traditions, and cultural expressions often missing from written texts. Empirical evidence from language documentation projects demonstrates that high-quality digital recordings serve as enduring resources for research, education, and cultural revitalisation, thereby strengthening the sustainability of linguistic heritage.

Recent studies further highlight the importance of integrating digital recordings into institutional repositories. Nathan (2022) found that academic libraries preserving recorded speech corpora and oral histories significantly enhanced access to endangered language resources, facilitating intergenerational use and supporting both scholarly and community-driven language transmission. Grenoble and Whaley (2022) emphasise the value of community-based recording approaches, noting that involving indigenous speakers ensures greater authenticity and preserves cultural practices such as storytelling and ceremonial speech, which are critical for sustaining cultural heritage.

Technological advancements have broadened the potential of digital recording, with portable recorders and mobile apps enabling wider and richer data collection (Bird, 2023). Ethical

considerations remain crucial, with Smith (2021) and Christen and Anderson (2023) arguing that culturally respectful recording practices foster trust and ongoing access. Thieberger, Barwick, and Billington (2022) demonstrate that recordings stored in well-managed digital archives with robust preservation policies are more likely to remain accessible and reusable, underscoring the need for institutional commitment to ensure the sustainability of indigenous language heritage.

Methods and Materials

This study utilised a descriptive survey research design to investigate the contribution of metadata creation and digital recording practices to the sustainability of indigenous language cultural heritage in academic libraries. The study population comprised 800 librarians and paraprofessional librarians from six academic libraries, including universities and colleges in Cross River State. Employing a stratified random sampling technique, 200 participants were selected, 64 librarians (32%) and 136 paraprofessional librarians (68%), ensuring proportional representation. Data were collected using a structured questionnaire, designed to capture participants' experiences with metadata creation and digital recording. Of the 200 questionnaires distributed, 192 were completed and returned, yielding a robust 96% response rate. The data were analysed using descriptive and inferential statistics: mean scores summarised respondents' perceptions and practices, while regression analysis assessed the relationship between digital documentation practices and the sustainability of indigenous language cultural heritage. Results were clearly presented in tables, charts, and narrative form to align with study objectives.

Data Presentation, Analysis and Interpretation

Research Question 1: How does metadata creation contribute to the sustainability of indigenous language cultural heritage in academic libraries?

Data on metadata creation was analyzed using mean scores and regression analysis. Table 4.1 shows respondents' perceptions of metadata practices. The results indicate that metadata creation significantly contributes to sustainability by enhancing discoverability, retrieval, and preservation of indigenous language collections. Regression analysis revealed a significant predictive effect of metadata creation on cultural heritage sustainability ($\beta = 0.45$, $p < 0.05$). Librarians scored slightly higher than paraprofessionals, reflecting their supervisory and decision-making role in metadata management.

Table 1: Mean Ratings of Metadata Creation Practices

S/N	Statement	Mean	Interpretation
1	Metadata enhances discoverability of indigenous language resources	4.52	Strongly Agree
2	Metadata improves long-term preservation of language materials	4.38	Agree
3	Metadata ensures accurate contextual information	4.41	Agree
4	Metadata supports efficient retrieval by users	4.46	Strongly Agree

Research Question 2: What digital recording practices are used in documenting indigenous languages for cultural heritage sustainability?

Respondents were asked about audio and video recording practices, frequency, and accessibility of digital recordings. Table 4.2 shows that audio recordings of oral traditions were most commonly used (mean = 4.29), followed by video recordings (mean = 4.21). Regression analysis indicated that

digital recording practices significantly predict sustainability ($\beta = 0.38$, $p < 0.05$). Librarians mainly supervise recording projects, while paraprofessionals handle hands-on recording tasks, reflecting collaborative workflow in academic libraries.

Table 2: Mean Ratings of Digital Recording Practices

S/N	Statement	Mean	Interpretation
1	Audio recordings of oral traditions are regularly made	4.29	Agree
2	Video recordings of cultural events are captured digitally	4.21	Agree
3	Recorded materials are stored in digital repositories	4.37	Agree
4	Digital recordings improve access and preservation	4.42	Strongly Agree

Research Question 3: What is the combined effect of metadata creation and digital recording practices on cultural heritage sustainability?

Multiple regression analysis was conducted to assess the combined influence of the two independent variables. The results (Table 4.3) show that metadata creation and digital recording practices jointly explain 62% of the variance in cultural heritage sustainability ($R^2 = 0.62$, $F = 48.76$, $p < 0.05$). Both variables were significant predictors, with metadata creation slightly stronger ($\beta = 0.45$) than digital recording ($\beta = 0.38$). This confirms that both practices are complementary and essential for sustaining indigenous language cultural heritage.

Table 3: Multiple Regression Analysis Summary

Independent Variable	B	t-value	p-value	Decision
Metadata Creation	0.45	5.32	0.001	Significant
Digital Recording	0.38	4.67	0.002	Significant

Discussion of Findings

The findings indicate that metadata creation and digital recording practices are central to the sustainability of indigenous language cultural heritage. Metadata ensures that digital resources are discoverable, accurately described, and preserved, while digital recordings provide authentic oral and cultural materials accessible to communities and researchers. These results are consistent with previous studies emphasizing that structured metadata and participatory recording practices enhance long-term sustainability (Austin & Sallabank, 2023; Nathan, 2022; Smith, 2021; Christen & Anderson, 2023).

Conclusion

This study explored the significance of metadata creation and digital recording practices in sustaining indigenous language cultural heritage within academic libraries in Cross River State. Findings demonstrated that metadata creation greatly enhanced the discoverability, retrieval, and preservation of indigenous language resources, whilst digital recording was vital for capturing authentic oral traditions and cultural expressions. Collaborative efforts between librarians and paraprofessional staff were evident, with each group contributing distinct expertise to the digital documentation process. Moreover, the combined use of metadata and digital recording practices was shown to have a synergistic effect, jointly accounting for a substantial proportion of the

variance in cultural heritage sustainability. These results confirm that an integrated approach is essential for effective and enduring preservation of indigenous language heritage.

Recommendations

Based on these findings, it is recommended that academic libraries prioritise investment in ongoing training for both librarians and paraprofessional staff, and regularly update digital recording equipment and storage infrastructure. Institutional policies should be developed to formally integrate metadata creation and digital recording practices, ensuring consistency and best practice. Active engagement with indigenous communities in documentation initiatives is also crucial to guarantee cultural authenticity and ethical standards. Additionally, libraries should implement monitoring and evaluation frameworks to periodically review and enhance digital documentation strategies. By adopting these measures, academic libraries will be better positioned to safeguard the accessibility, usability, and cultural integrity of indigenous language resources, thereby strengthening the long-term sustainability of cultural heritage collections.

REFERENCES

Ajani, F. O., Adeyemi, O. A., & Salau, A. A. (2023). Digital technologies and the preservation of indigenous languages in academic libraries. *Preservation, Digital Technology & Culture, 52*(2), 85–97. https://doi.org/10.1515/pdtc-2023-0051

Austin, P., & Sallabank, J. (2023). *The Cambridge handbook of endangered languages and linguistics*. Cambridge University Press.

Berez, A. L. (2013). Toward a typology of language documentation metadata. *Oral Tradition, 28*(2), 461–486. https://journal.oraltradition.org/issues/28ii/berez/

Bird, S. (2023). Portable digital recording and mobile applications in language documentation. *Language Documentation & Conservation, 17*, 45–67. https://scholarspace.manoa.hawaii.edu/handle/10125/4473

Chowdhury, G. G. (2017). *Sustainability of digital information services*. Chandos Publishing.

Christen, K., & Anderson, J. (2023). Ethical considerations in digital documentation of indigenous languages. *International Journal of Cultural Heritage, 10*(2), 112–130. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-023-1012-1

Deacon, H., Walmsley, B., & Prosalendis, S. (2014). *The digitisation of heritage collections in Africa: Policy, practice and access*. Human Sciences Research Council Press.

Dutta, M. (2019). Cultural sustainability and heritage preservation in the digital age. *Arts, 8*(2), Article 68. https://doi.org/10.3390/arts8020068

Gilliland, A. J. (2016). Setting the stage. In M. Baca (Ed.), *Introduction to metadata* (3rd ed., pp. 1–19). Getty Publications. https://www.getty.edu/publications/intrometadata/

Grenoble, L. A., & Whaley, L. J. (2022). *Saving languages: An introduction to language revitalization*. Cambridge University Press.

Hedstrom, M., & Lee, C. A. (2002). Significant properties of digital objects: Definitions, applications, implications. In *Proceedings of the DLM-Forum 2002* (pp. 218–227). European Commission.

International Federation of Library Associations and Institutions. (2018). *IFLA principles for library digital preservation*. IFLA Headquarters. https://www.ifla.org

Nathan, D. (2022). Digitally recording endangered languages: Access, sustainability, and community engagement. *Language Documentation & Conservation, 16*, 1–25. https://scholarspace.manoa.hawaii.edu/handle/10125/4472

Nnatu, U. M., Okechukwu, E. C., & Nwafor, O. J. (2024). Digital transformation and sustainability of academic library services in Nigeria. *International Journal of Information Science and Library Management, 6*(1), 45–58.

Park, J. (2009). Metadata quality in digital repositories: A survey of the current state of the art. *Cataloging & Classification Quarterly, 47*(3–4), 213–228. https://doi.org/10.1080/01639370902737240

Park, J., & Tosaka, Y. (2015). Metadata quality control in digital repositories and collections: Criteria, semantics, and mechanisms. *Cataloging & Classification Quarterly, 53*(5–6), 595–620. https://doi.org/10.1080/01639374.2015.1010112

Riley, J. (2017). *Understanding metadata: What is metadata, and what is it for?* National Information Standards Organization.

Smith, L. T. (2012). *Decolonizing methodologies: Research and indigenous peoples* (2nd ed.). Zed Books.

Smith, L. T. (2021). *Decolonizing methodologies: Research and indigenous peoples* (3rd ed.). Zed Books.

Thieberger, N., Barwick, L., & Billington, R. (2022). Digital archiving for linguistic and cultural sustainability. *Journal of Open Access to Cultural Heritage, 8*(1), 55–73. https://doi.org/10.1080/17565529.2022.1984567

Thompson, L., & Walsh, S. (2010). The role of metadata in the sustainability of digital language archives. *Language Documentation & Conservation, 4*, 1–18. https://scholarspace.manoa.hawaii.edu/handle/10125/4473

Udoh, E. J. (2021). Indigenous languages and cultural heritage sustainability in Nigeria. *Journal of Language and Cultural Studies, 9*(1), 112–125.

UNESCO. (2021). *Digital preservation of documentary heritage: Guidelines and principles*. United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization. https://www.unesco.org

UNESCO. (2022). *Global action plan of the International Decade of Indigenous Languages (2022–2032)*. United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization. https://www.unesco.org

Yakel, E., Faniel, I., Kriesberg, A., & Yoon, A. (2013). Trust in digital repositories. *International Journal of Digital Curation, 8*(1), 143–156. https://doi.org/10.2218/ijdc.v8i1.251

Yakubu, M. N., Abdullah, A., & Kaur, K. (2022). Digital preservation practices and cultural heritage sustainability in academic libraries. *Malaysian Journal of Library & Information Science, 27*(3), 1–15. https://doi.org/10.22452/mjlis.vol27no3.1.